Science and Religion in American Media

Provisional Syllabus - See Canvas for Updates

Film 46.03 Topics in Television
Sociology 49.13 Special Topics

Winter 2015
001 Black Family Visual Arts Center (Lower Level)
MWF 11:15-12:20 (11)
X-hours used occasionally, see course schedule

Instructor Information

Michael S. Evans
michael.s.evans@dartmouth.edu
Office Hours are officially TTh 2:00-3:00p in 206 BFVAC, but I am often around the office on weekday afternoons for drop-in visits, and I’m always happy to make an appointment.

Course Description

The public life of religion and science seems to be characterized by escalating conflict and intractable polarization. In this course we draw on several different scholarly disciplines to consider the public intersection of science and religion with our responsibilities and obligations as citizens in a democratic polity, paying particular attention to problems of participation, representation, and deliberation as they are mediated through the press, new media, film, television, and public spaces. We will examine case studies from current controversies over stem cell research, cloning, reproductive genetics, environmental policy, human origins, and sexuality to explore who is creating and maintaining these public controversies, and for what purposes. Most importantly, we will unpack the various ways that “science and religion” is a defining confrontation in the development of American democracy, whether in the contested separation of church and state, the rise of conservative religious movements in electoral politics, or the supposed decline of American scientific and technological dominance in the world.

Distributive: Social Analysis (SOC). World Culture: Western Cultures (W).

Course Goals

The overall course goal is to acquire basic competence in evaluating contentious public debates as institutional contests of credibility that shape what we can talk about, what we know about it, how we talk about it, and what we can do about it. This competence is important for any future endeavor involving participation in public life, such as advertising, social activism, public relations, religious leadership, filmmaking, marketing, public policy, electoral politics, journalism, or global rock superstardom.

An additional course goal is to develop practical and useful skills in four areas that transcend topic or discipline: digital media analysis, digital scholarship, critical engagement, and cooperative leadership. Such skills are personally valuable and professionally desirable. To provide evidence of your abilities to others, the course implements a digital badging system where badges are earned as skills are acquired and demonstrated. Once earned, badges can be displayed (or not, your call) in social media (for example as “Certifications” on LinkedIn), web pages, or email to communicate your abilities and achievements.
Course Meetings

Regular class meets MWF. Usually Monday and Wednesday will be a combination of me lecturing and us discussing interesting things in class. Technically this course is a Topics in Television course, so you can expect “lecturing” to include screening of short video excerpts. Usually Friday will be reserved for student-led presentation and discussion of course readings, which we may enliven further with the help of guest lecturers and/or discussants. We will sort out assignments for these presentations in the first week or so.

X-hours will be used occasionally for digital scholarship sessions. We will meet in the regular classroom or Jones Media Center to spend time developing digital scholarship skills through a variety of workshops and exercises in content creation, commentary, and publishing. These sessions will be linked to course themes and material, so we will develop our digital scholarship skills as part of learning about science and religion. Details of required meetings and locations can be found in the Course Schedule.

Note that the course also makes extensive use of Canvas (e.g. weekly quizzes, assigned readings, etc.). Students are responsible for gaining access to Canvas and staying current with material on the course Canvas site outside of class meetings.

Learning Objectives

The course is divided into three modules, each with its own learning objectives. In parallel with these modules, the digital scholarship lab sessions will pursue an additional learning objective.

In Module One, we discuss theories of the public sphere and credibility. The basic objective is to understand how and why science and religion bother to participate in American public life in the first place. Upon completion of this module, students will be able to describe theories of the public sphere, compare and contrast the concepts of truth and credibility, identify multiple ways that public sphere activity shapes everyday social life, critically evaluate the role of “the public” in public debate, identify patterns of consumption and production in American media, describe the consequences of these patterns for the public sphere, and relate the participation of science and religion in American media to the pursuit of credibility.

In Module Two, we consider apparent public conflicts between science and religion as episodes in the development of American democracy. The basic objective is to understand how and why arguments about science and religion in the public sphere are also efforts to work out how we are going to resolve conflicts in a pluralistic democracy. Upon completion of this module, students will be able to analyze participation in public life in terms of moral projects, compare and contrast the “knowledge deficit” model and its alternatives, distinguish between moral and epistemological arguments in the public sphere, relate the historical trajectory of religion and science in American electoral politics to concepts of credibility (from Module One), and evaluate the role of legal institutions in settling public arguments about science and religion.

In Module Three, we discuss how public debate reflects and creates divisions and contention within American institutions, even while revealing similarities between apparently opposed institutions. The basic objective is to understand how and why public arguments involving science and religion are also contests between diverse possibilities within science and within religion. Upon completion of this module, students will be able to evaluate the effects of uncertainty and doubt on credibility (from Module One), use examples from science and religion to show how internal institutional conflicts are escalated by American media, identify sources of commonality in public discourse, and evaluate the probable success of efforts to use media to achieve social change.

In the Digital Scholarship sessions and exercises, we will develop our digital scholarship skills as part of learning about science and religion in American media. The basic objective is to gain competence in digital scholarship. Upon completion of the course, students will be able to search and retrieve archival content from a variety of digital archives, apply critical knowledge and communication skills, and use the MediaKron digital scholarship platform to generate digital publications that integrate original analysis and commentary with archival textual, video, and interactive content. Students will demonstrate this competence by producing a digital scholarship project using MediaKron.
Texts and Resources

There are two required books:


All other required course readings are available electronically through the course Canvas page. In the printed course schedule these readings are marked with -C-.

We will also make use of MediaKron, which is a web-based application that will have course-specific access available to enrolled students.

Grading

Your course grade is based on how many challenges you complete at a satisfactory level. To track your progress, a digital badge will be issued for each challenge successfully completed at a satisfactory level, based on the challenge rubric. Successful completion of all challenges in a section (e.g. Digital Media Analysis) earns an additional skill badge that can be shared (or not, your call) on social media such as LinkedIn (under “Certifications”), Facebook, or Twitter. There are 24 badges available initially, though bonus challenges may be introduced at the discretion of the instructor. Some of the challenges are time-sensitive and require advance planning on your part (e.g. for performance on quizzes that expire, or attendance at X-hour session). A full list of challenges and their requirements is available in Canvas.

Grade conversion scale:

- **A**: 23 or more badges
- **A-**: 22 badges
- **B+**: 21 badges
- **B**: 20 badges
- **B-**: 19 badges
- **C**: 17 to 18 badges
- **D**: 15 to 16 badges
- **E**: 14 or fewer badges

After a grade is calculated based on successful challenge completion, deductions will be applied for any excessive absences (particularly from Friday discussion sessions, which cannot be made up with individual work). **Committing to this course means committing face time to your classmates and to me.** Missing more than 4 class sessions of any type without prior approval will result in a deduction of one full grade (e.g. from a B to a C). Missing more than 2 Friday sessions for any reason will result in a deduction of one full grade for the third absence and another full grade for each subsequent absence.

Academic Honor

Students are responsible for compliance with the Academic Honor Principle. We will follow Dartmouth College guidelines for responding to suspected violations. Consequences of such violation may include a failing grade in the course.

This course contains both individual and collaborative requirements. I expect that responses to quizzes, critical engagement exercises, and take-home examinations (if any) will be your own individual work. I expect
that digital scholarship will be collaborative, with the requirement that you explicitly credit all sources from which you draw as you develop your project, including people with whom you have collaborated or who have otherwise provided assistance to you. Whatever the assignment, submitting a copy of another person’s work (even in part) as your own work violates the Academic Honor Principle.

If you have any questions about whether or not something is a violation of the Academic Honor Principle, ask me first! Even if it seems odd or awkward to ask, I assure you that asking me first is way better than explaining yourself later to the Office of Judicial Affairs.

**Student Needs**

Students with disabilities enrolled in this course and who may need disability-related academic adjustments and services are encouraged to see me privately as early as possible in the term. Students requiring disability-related academic adjustments and services must consult the Student Accessibility Services office (301 Collis Student Center, 646-9900, Student.Accessibility.Services@Dartmouth.edu). Once SAS has authorized services, students must show the originally signed SAS Services and Consent Form and/or a letter on SAS letterhead to their professor. As a first step, if students have questions about whether they qualify to receive academic adjustments and services, they should contact the SAS office. All inquiries and discussions will remain confidential.

Students who have a religious observance that conflicts with participation in the course should come speak with me before the end of the second week of the term to discuss appropriate accommodations.

**Tentative Course Schedule (may adjust during quarter)**

All readings are required readings. I expect you to come to class having already read the assigned reading for that day. If a reading is listed under January 7, then you need to complete that reading before class on January 7.

Readings prefaced with -C- are available on Canvas.

**Module One: The Public Sphere and Credibility**

January 5 – Graven Images and the Watchdog of the Mind: Why Media Matters to Science and Religion

- no required reading

January 6 X-period - Intro to Digital Scholarship (VAC001)

January 7 - Nietzsche’s Typewriter: Technological Determinism and its Critics


January 9 – Religion and Science in Public


January 12 – Who or What is “The Public?”


January 13 X-period - MediaKron Basics Workshop (VAC001)

January 14 – Publics: What are They Good For?


January 16 – Publics as Politics


January 19 - NO CLASS MEETING (MLK Day).

January 20 X-period - MediaKron Exercise 1 (VAC001)

January 21 – Wonder Junkies: Why, What, and How We Watch


January 23 – Fact or Fiction: Does it Matter?


Module Two: Conflict as Democracy

January 26 – Competing for the Future: Visions of America and its Citizens

January 27 X-period - MediaKron Exercise 2 (No meeting. Media Librarian holding office hours at VAC001.)

January 28 – Future Crisis as Public Resource


January 30 - Lying Like a Survey: The Uses and Abuses of Public Opinion


February 2 – Show Business for Ugly People: Media and Electoral Politics


February 3 X-period – What Do We Really Want? (Discussion session to free up Friday for mayhem)


February 4 – Why There is No Public Controversy About Aeronautics


February 6 – NO CLASS MEETING (Winter Carnival). Have fun. Be safe.

February 9 – The Court of Public Opinion and the Rules of Evidence: The Curious Case(s) of Religion and American Law


February 10 X-period - No Meeting

February 11 – Inheriting Scopes: The Evolution of Evolution in Public Life


February 13 – When What is at Stake is What is At Stake: Arguing about the Rules


Module Three: Diversity and Change

February 16 – Airing Dirty Laundry: Escalating Uncertainty and Internal Conflict


February 17 X-period - No Meeting

February 18 – Mass Media and Public Boundaries


February 20 – Objectivity and Its Alternatives


February 23 – Furious Agreement: Similarities and Differences in Public Discourse
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February 24 X-period – No meeting

February 25 – The Same Reasons for Different Reasons


February 27 – Who or What is Antiscience?


March 2 – Be The Trouble You Want To See in the World: Media and Social Change


March 3 X-period - No meeting

March 4 – The Language of Being Noticed


March 6 – The Limits of Knowledge Activism


March 9 – Digital Scholarship Presentations

March 10 X-period – Digital Scholarship Presentations